

E-Education Committee Summary: 12 – 19 February 2024

Summary of responses by the committee

Paper 1	Summary: CAS Exception Request – MSc Research Methods	Chair's View
For Approval		Approved
Comments		
Happy to approve.		Julia Taylor
Approved.		Ann Luce
Approved		Philip Sewell
Approve.		Gelareh Roushan
approve		Sara White
Approved		Philip Ryland
Approved		Jacky Mack
Approved		Katharine Cox
Approved		Jules Forrest
Approved		Kerry-Ann Randle
Approved on the grounds I see no impact on the student voice/representative issues		Norah Deka
Paper 2.1	Summary: Programme Development Proposal – LLB (Hons) Law with Legal Practice	Chair's View
For Approval		Approved
Comments		
Happy to approve.		Julia Taylor
Approved.		Ann Luce
Fine in principle - it is not clear in Section 1.7 of the form, how many new units will be needed, what levels the units are taught at, where the optional units sits, are they shared? It would be useful to know more about the units to understand the efficiency of delivery.		Philip Sewell
<u>Response from Katherine Cox, Head of Department:</u> <i>Thanks for this Philip. The LLB Law with Legal Practice effectively turns a pathway (on the LLB Law) into a programme - so we're using the existing units as already developed</i>		
Approve.		Gelareh Roushan
Approved.		Carly Stewart
Approved		Philip Ryland
Approve		Sara White
Approved		Jacky Mack
Approved		Kerry-Ann Randle
Approved on the grounds I see no impact on the student voice/representative issues		Norah Deka

Paper 3.1	Summary: Programme Review Deferral Request – BA (Hons) Music and Sound Production	Chair's View
For Approval		Approved
Comments		
Approved.		Julia Taylor
Approved		Ann Luce
Approved		Philip Sewell
Approve.		Gelareh Roushan
Approved		Carly Stewart
Approved		Philip Ryland
Approve		Sara White
Approved		Jacky Mack
Approved - it makes sense to prioritise the new portfolio development		Katharine Cox
Approved		Jules Forrest
Approved		Kerry-Ann Randle
Approved on the grounds I see no impact on the student voice/representative issues		Norah Deka
Paper 4	Summary: External Examiner Appointments and Examination Teams for Research Degrees	Chair's View
For Approval		Approved
Comments		
Happy to approve.		Julia Taylor
Approved.		Ann Luce
Approved		Philip Sewell
Approve.		Gelareh Roushan
Approved		Carly Stewart
Noted		Philip Ryland
Paper asks for Approval but as it's only a list the detail is not enough to approve so I am only happy to Note <u>Response from Jules Forrest, Head of Academic Quality:</u> Please see 6N External Examiner: Policy and Procedure (para 6.7.5): Education Committee approval is granted at the point at which Academic Quality, acting on behalf of Education Committee, are satisfied that the proposed arrangements are appropriate. All individual approvals are reported to the next scheduled meeting of Education Committee for University oversight. For clarity going forward, the cover paper will refer to the policy and the process, making it clear that Academic Quality have delegated authority to endorse external examiner nominations. Formal approval is provided by Education Committee as per Education Committee's terms of reference.		Sara White
Approved		Jacky Mack
Are some of the externals without PhD or has this been missed off? I wondered if this was because of industry-facing provision or whether it was a mistake		Katharine Cox

<u>Response from Jules Forrest, Head of Academic Quality:</u> <i>These are non-academic externals so they do not have PhDs. This will be made clearer in future reports.</i>		
Agree with Sara's point and not sure what we are 'approving'? <u>Response from Jules Forrest, Head of Academic Quality:</u> <i>Please see 6N External Examiner: Policy and Procedure (para 6.7.5):</i> <i>Education Committee approval is granted at the point at which Academic Quality, acting on behalf of Education Committee, are satisfied that the proposed arrangements are appropriate. All individual approvals are reported to the next scheduled meeting of Education Committee for University oversight.</i> <i>For clarity going forward, the cover paper will refer to the policy and the process, making it clear that Academic Quality have delegated authority to endorse external examiner nominations. Formal approval is provided by Education Committee as per Education Committee's terms of reference.</i>		Kerry-Ann Randle
Approved on the grounds I see no impact on the student voice/representative issues		Norah Deka
Paper 5	Summary: Pending External Examiner Appointments	Chair's View
For Note		Noted
Comments		
Noted.		Julia Taylor
Noted.		Ann Luce
Noted- As an End Point Assessment Organisation for apprenticeships we also now have a new role of External Assessor. We need to now also start tracking these roles along side the EE role. Scott Warren, listed in this report is also an EA as well as an EE and this should be flagged. <u>Response from Jules Forrest, Head of Academic Quality:</u> <i>Thanks Phil. These new roles will be reflected in future reports.</i>		Philip Sewell
Noted.		Gelareh Roushan
Noted.		Carly Stewart
Noted		Philip Ryland
agree with Phils comments above re EPA. Content of paper noted		Sara White
Noted		Jacky Mack
Noted. Andrew Jackson as outgoing History external appears to be listed twice on the overview <u>Response from Jules Forrest, Head of Academic Quality:</u> <i>Thanks Katherine. This is an error and will be corrected.</i>		Katharine Cox
noted.		Kerry-Ann Randle
Noted!		Norah Deka
